

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/751,078	01/02/2004	Rodney Edward Thomas	A310397.0US	1260
7590 01/12/2006			EXAMINER	
Jerad G. Seurer, Wyatt, Tarrant & Combs, LLP			EDELL, JOSEPH F	
Suite 800	•			·
1715 Aaron Brenner Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Memphis, TN 38120-4367			3636	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/751,078	THOMAS, RODNEY EDWARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph F. Edell	3636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Oc	ctober 2005.					
•	action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "from a closed position on said first side adjacent said first side" is unclear rendering the scope of the claim indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,900,087 to Crisp in view U.S. Patent No. 5,516,194 to Maule.

Crisp discloses a seat assembly that is basically the same as that recited in claims 1-7 except that the first child seat portion lacks an open position wherein the first child seat portion rests on the seat, as recited in the claims. See

Application/Control Number: 10/751,078

Art Unit: 3636

Figures 1-5 of Crisp for the teaching that the seat assembly has a seat 12 (see Fig. 2), a backrest 16 with a vertically oriented receptacle 22, a first child seat portion 28, a first side of the first child seat portion pivotally interconnected at a first axis 32 of the backrest so as to swing from a closed position when retained within the receptacle of the backrest and an open position when pivoted out of the receptacle, a second side of the first child seat portion, a second child seat portion 30 pivotally interconnected at a second axis 34 of an upper end of the first child seat portion so as to selectively swing from a closed position within the receptacle of the backrest to an open position extending from the upper end of the first child seat portion, a first seating surface of the first child seat portion disposed adjacent the receptacle, an opposed second seating surface 28a (see Fig. 1) of the first child seat portion that is exposed as a backrest when the first child seat portion is retained within the receptacle, a first seating surface of the second child seat portion forming an infant back-supporting surface (see Fig. 2) when the second child seat portion is selectively disposed in the open position about the second axis, a second seating surface 30a (see Fig. 1) of the second child seat portion the includes a child seating surface (see Fig. 4) opposite the infant back-supporting surface, an upholstered surface on the second seating surface, a first component 50,52,56 (see Fig. 2) of an infant restraint system located on the first child seat portion, a second component 52,54 of the infant restraint system located on the second child seat portion whereby the seat assembly may be selectively used for seating an adult, a toddler, and an infant.

Maule shows a seat assembly similar to that of Crisp wherein the seat assembly has a seat 22' (Fig. 4), a backrest 20' (Fig. 4) with a vertically oriented receptacle, a first child seat portion 32 (Fig. 7) pivotally interconnected at a first axis 50 (Fig. 4) of the backrest so as to swing from a closed position when retained within the receptacle of the backrest and an open position when pivoted out of the receptacle to a position at a rest on the seat. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the seat assembly of Crisp such that the first child seat portion rests on the seat when pivoted out of the receptacle to the open position, such as the seat assembly disclosed in Maule. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in view of the suggestion in Maule that the first child seat portion resting on the seat allows proper support of the seat portion when in the open position and provide a seat portion that may be used as an armrest for an adult.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

Art Unit: 3636

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Edell whose telephone number is (571) 272-6858. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30am-5:00pm.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Art Unit: 3636

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

January 8, 2006

Peter M. Cuomo
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3600